

The Spacewise Approach to the Data Treatment for the SAGE Mission Project

A. Albertella, F. Migliaccio, F. Sansó

Dip. IIAR, Sez. Rilevamento, Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT:

After a call for proposals for small satellite missions from the Italian Space Agency (ASI), a group of Italian research teams and industries led by the Politecnico di Milano proposed the concept of SAGE, a mission aimed at determining the gravity field of the Earth by means of high-low SST, which means that the satellite orbit is determined by GPS, while the non-gravitational perturbations are determined by a three-axes accelerometer. This is basically the same concept of the CHAMP mission [Reigber et al., 1996]. SAGE underwent a Phase A Study during the year 1998 [ASI, 1998]. In this framework, the task of the Politecnico di Milano group was to analyze the data by means of the spacewise approach. The complete spacewise approach, besides studying new simulations of the data to be expected from SAGE, requires to perform the inversion of Hill's equations, to form average values on a regular grid over the sphere and to recover the gravity field coefficients. The simulations are requested in order to: assess the accuracy of the data obtained after the inversion of Hill's equations introducing a realistic measurement noise; formulate the overdetermined boundary value problem to be solved; determine indices enabling to evaluate the performances of the solution.

1 The concept of space accelerometer

satellite as [Bassanino et al., 1992]

The proposal of the mission SAGE (Satellite Accelerometry by Gravity field Exploration) consisted in using a GPS receiver together with an accelerometer on a low, polar orbit satellite. The accelerometer proof-mass, positioned in the centre of mass of the satellite, is subject to a purely gravitational acceleration g , while the centre of mass of the satellite is subject (besides the same acceleration g) also to all non-gravitational forces which act on the surface, whose sum is f . Therefore the accelerometer gives a direct measure of f .

The GPS tracking (aided by a SLR device) allows to reconstruct (with very high relative precision between two points along an orbit arc) the satellite trajectory $\underline{x}(t)$. The difference between the "observed" orbit $\underline{x}(t)$ and the orbit $\tilde{\underline{x}}(t)$ modelled by all available information is due to the residual gravitational effects:

$$\underline{\xi}(t) = \underline{x}(t) - \tilde{\underline{x}}(t) \quad (1)$$

where $\underline{\xi}(t)$ is the orbit anomaly, equivalent to a "virtual" orbit ruled by the residual gravitational potential. From $\underline{\xi}(t)$ it is possible, by differencing and smoothing, to obtain observed values of g along the orbit, which can be integrated to give the harmonic coefficients of the field, in the framework of an overdetermined boundary value problem.

In particular, we write the equation of motion of the

$$\ddot{\underline{x}} = \nabla u_0(\underline{x}) + \nabla \delta u(\underline{x}) + \underline{f}_g(\underline{x}) + \underline{f}_{ng}(\underline{x}) \quad (2)$$

considering the gravitational potential $u(\underline{x})$ as the sum of a reference potential $u_0(\underline{x})$ and a residual part $\delta u(\underline{x})$. In this equation $\underline{f}_g(\underline{x})$ represents the effects of the sun, moon and tides (which can be modelled) and $\underline{f}_{ng}(\underline{x})$ represents the effect of the surface forces (mainly due to the drag, which is measured by the accelerometer). It must be remarked that \underline{f}_g and \underline{f}_{ng} can be computed along the nominal orbit without significant errors.

The residual gravitational effects δg along the orbit can be obtained by inverting Hill's equations, which are written here under the hypotheses that $\underline{\xi}$ is small and that the orbit arc is circular:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \ddot{\xi}_a + 2\omega\dot{\xi}_r & = & \delta g_a \\ \ddot{\xi}_r - 2\omega\dot{\xi}_a - 3\omega^2\xi_r & = & \delta g_r \\ \ddot{\xi}_o + \omega^2\xi_o & = & \delta g_o \end{array} \quad (3)$$

The indices a, r, o respectively denote the along track, radial and orthogonal (out of plane) component.

This system is inadequate to produce realistic orbit ephemerides; nevertheless it is useful because it can certainly be used to produce simulations, to understand how well (3) can be inverted. The general solution of (3) can be written as

$$\underline{\xi}(t) = \underline{\xi}_h(t) + \underline{\xi}_p(t) \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi_{ha} = D - 3At - 2B \sin nt + 2C \cos nt \\ \xi_{hr} = \frac{2A}{r} B \cos nt + C \sin nt \\ \xi_{ho} = E \cos nt + F \sin nt \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi_{pa} = -\frac{2}{n} \int_0^t [1 - \cos n(t - \tau)] \delta g_r(\tau) d\tau + \\ \quad + \frac{4}{n} \int_0^t \sin n(t - \tau) \delta g_a(\tau) d\tau + \\ \quad - 3 \int_0^t (t - \tau) \delta g_a(\tau) d\tau \\ \xi_{pr} = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^t \sin n(t - \tau) \delta g_r(\tau) d\tau + \\ \quad + \frac{2}{n} \int_0^t [1 - \cos n(t - \tau)] \delta g_a(\tau) d\tau \\ \xi_{po} = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^t \sin n(t - \tau) \delta g_o(\tau) d\tau \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

So what we have to do is basically to invert (4), (5), (6) for $\delta g_a, \delta g_r, \delta g_o$.

This can be done by a spacewise approach, which amounts to directly inverting equations (4), (5), (6) with a suitable stochastic inverse method; this step provides the vector $(\delta g_a, \delta g_r, \delta g_o)$ on a sphere at satellite altitude; the vector is then used to estimate the coefficients $T_{\ell m}$ via integration with spherical harmonics.

2 Numerical tests with the spacewise approach

In order to verify the theoretical procedure described in Section 1, it was decided to produce simulated data to be treated in the spacewise approach. Using the EGM96 gravity model, the three components of the residual gravity accelerations were computed at points spanning a quarter of an orbit¹, with the initial condition that latitude $\varphi = 0$ when $t = 0$ both for ascending and for descending arcs. Other parameters of the simulation can be found in Table 1. The interval between two subsequent points along the arc is $\Delta t = 5s$.

¹One choice is fundamental, namely to use a short arc approach, inverting half a cycle at a time so that the central point of the arc has a maximum distance from the ends equal to a quarter of a cycle. First of all this approach shows that data could be treated even in case of (relatively) frequent interruptions without degrading the mission. Moreover this choice is done to be sure that the noise in $\xi(t)$ is limited to $1 \div 2$ cm.

ℓ_{min}	11
ℓ_{max}	90
R (cm)	637813630
GM ($\frac{cm^3}{s^2}$)	$3986004.415 \cdot 10^{14}$
Ω ($\frac{rad}{s}$)	$7292115 \cdot 10^{-11}$
r (cm)	680813630
I	87°

Table 1: Parameters used for the simulation of data to be treated in the spacewise approach.

To obtain the orbit anomalies according to (3), only the particular solution was used, in fact the homogeneous part of the integral is irrelevant to our reasoning. This happens because in the subsequent estimation procedure of $\delta \underline{g}$ (obtained by applying the Hill operator) the contribution of the homogeneous solution (5) is equal to zero.

By using a simple numerical integration algorithm, the three components $\xi_{pa}, \xi_{pr}, \xi_{po}$ were computed.

Afterwards, from the data as represented in Fig. 1 two contributions were subtracted: one represents the average value of the data themselves, while the other is the trend produced by the homogeneous solution of (3). Before applying the estimation procedure, a white noise with mean square value equal to 2 cm was added to the "observations" (cf. Fig. 2).

Starting from the simulated observations ξ , we now had to study the behaviour of the estimated values of $\delta \underline{g}$ over a regular grid covering the Earth surface. In order to do this, we decided to choose a sample area with dimensions $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$: over this area data were simulated (according to the previously described procedure) for a mission lifetime of one year, corresponding to 15 ascending and 15 descending arcs.

The idea was to derive the signal $\delta \underline{g}$ from ξ and observation equations (3) by applying a collocation approach along the arc.

To optimize the collocation procedure, the empirical covariance function was estimated after grouping the arcs in sets of five each and referring the averaged data (five by five) to the points of the medium arc. However, the collocation estimate of the functionals needed to invert Hill's equations was subsequently performed at the original points of each arc.

The inversion of Hill's equations gave the values of the components $\delta \hat{g}_a, \delta \hat{g}_r, \delta \hat{g}_o$ at all the observation points of the sample block: simply averaging the single components, the mean values were obtained. These values, after undergoing a suitable rotation to a Earth fixed reference system (and assuming no attitude error), were referred to the center point of the block: we call them $\delta \hat{g}_\varphi, \delta \hat{g}_\lambda, \delta \hat{g}_r$. The same quantities were also directly simulated using the EGM96 gravity model:

Figure
2 cm.

10¹⁴
-11

ation of data to

to (3), only the
e homogeneous
reasoning. This
estimation proce-
ll operator) the
n (5) is equal to

a algorithm, the
puted.

nted in Fig. 1
e represents the
while the other
ous solution of
cedure, a white
cm was added

ons ξ , we now
nated values of
rth surface. In
a sample area
ata were simu-
bed procedure)
sponding to 15

m ξ and obser-
ation approach

the empirical
r grouping the
averaged data
arc. However,
als needed to
y performed at

e values of the
rvation points
he single com-
d. These val-
on to a Earth
o attitude er-
the block: we
ities were also
vity model:




Figure 1: An example of simulated orbit anomalies along a generic arc.




Figure 2: Simulated observations, after detrending and adding a white noise with mean square value equal to 2 cm.

Differences	$\delta\bar{g}_\vartheta - \delta\hat{g}_\vartheta$	$\delta\bar{g}_\lambda - \delta\hat{g}_\lambda$	$\delta\bar{g}_r - \delta\hat{g}_r$
mean (mGal)	-0.049	0.066	-0.204
r.m.s. (mGal)	0.589	0.317	0.470

Table 2: Comparison between estimated and simulated values.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_r &= \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \\
\delta g_\vartheta &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \vartheta} \\
\delta g_\lambda &= \frac{1}{r \sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda}
\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} &= -\frac{GM}{R} \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \sum_{\ell m} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{\ell+1} (\ell+1) [T_{\ell m} \cos m\lambda + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + T_{\ell, -m} \sin m\lambda] P_{\ell m}(\cos \vartheta) \right\} \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial \vartheta} &= \frac{GM}{R} \left\{ \sum_{\ell m} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{\ell+1} [T_{\ell m} \cos m\lambda + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + T_{\ell, -m} \sin m\lambda] \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} P_{\ell m}(\cos \vartheta) \right\} \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{GM}{R} \left\{ \sum_{\ell m} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{\ell+1} m [T_{\ell, -m} \cos m\lambda + \right. \\
&\quad \left. - T_{\ell m} \sin m\lambda] P_{\ell m}(\cos \vartheta) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The results of the estimation procedure are summarized in Table 2.

These results quantify the noise produced for each component by the applied collocation procedure. Therefore three sets of noise data were synthesized, with mean square values equal to those computed from the differences between simulated and estimated values.

3 Recovery of the gravity field coefficients

The final step consisted in computing two sets of harmonic coefficients by discretizing the harmonic analysis formulas for the radial and horizontal components of the gravity field

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\ell m}^1 &= -\left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\ell+2} \frac{1}{\frac{\mu}{R^2}(\ell+1)} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) Y_{\ell m}(\sigma) d\sigma \\
T_{\ell m}^2 &= \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\ell+2} \frac{1}{\frac{\mu}{R^2}(\ell+1)} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \nabla_\sigma (T Y_{\ell m}(\sigma)) d\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

which afterwards allow to derive the unique weighted estimate represented by

$$\bar{T}_{\ell m} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_{1\ell}^2} T_{\ell m}^1 + \frac{1}{\sigma_{2\ell}^2} T_{\ell m}^2}{\frac{1}{\sigma_{1\ell}^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{2\ell}^2}} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1\ell}^2 &= \sigma^2(T_{\ell m}^1) = \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{2\ell+4} \frac{\sigma_r^2}{4\pi \left(\frac{\mu}{R^2} \right)^2 (\ell+1)^2} \\
\sigma_{2\ell}^2 &= \sigma^2(T_{\ell m}^2) = \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{2\ell+4} \frac{\sigma_h^2}{4\pi \left(\frac{\mu}{R^2} \right)^2 \ell(\ell+1)}
\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

σ_r^2, σ_h^2 being the Wiener variance densities of radial and horizontal components.

An index showing the highest estimable degree is the signal-to-noise ratio, obtained by comparison of the curve of the degree variances given by Kaula's rule with the curve of the degree variances of the estimated model. This gives a maximum attainable degree equal to $\ell_{max} = 49$, as shown in Fig. 3.

We took this result as provisory, probably due to a too rough approximation in quadrature formulas. We say that, because a theoretical prediction of ℓ_{max} from a uniform noise of 0.5 mGal resulted in $\ell_{max} \simeq 62$, which by the way is in agreement with the results obtained by the research team working with SAGE simulated data in the framework of the timewise approach [ASI, 1998].

References

- [ASI, 1998] ASI: 1998, SAGE Phase A Final Report, Agenzia Spaziale Italiana, 1998.
- [Bassanino et al., 1992] Bassanino M., Migliaccio F., Sacerdote F.: 1992, A BVP approach to the reduction of GPS and accelerometric observations, in: Proc. Symp. IAG 110 *From Mars to Greenland: charting gravity with space and airborne instruments*, ed. O.L.Colombo, Springer-Verlag New York.
- [ESA, 1998] ESA: 1998, European Views on Dedicated Gravity Field Missions: GRACE and GOCE *ESD-MAG-REP-CON-001*, ESA, May 1998.
- [Reigber et al., 1996] Reigber Ch., Bock R., Förste Ch., Grunwaldt L., Jakowski N., Lühr H., Schwintzer P. and Tilgner C.: 1996, CHAMP Phase B Executive Summary, GFZ, STR96/13.

que weighted

(8)

$$1)^2$$

(9)

+ 1)

of radial and

degree is the
rison of the
Kaula's rule
ne estimated
degree equal

due to a too
las. We say
 ρ_{max} from a
 ≈ 62 , which
obtained by
ulated data
[ASI, 1998].

nal Report,

gliaccio F.,
to the re-
bservations,
to Green-
irborne in-
Verlag New

a Dedicated
OCE ESD-

R., Förste
Lühr H.,
AMP Phase

There val-
brish
er-
block: we
s were also
y model

DATA AND METADATA

is not only a technical problem but also a social one. The

of the past, first of all, of the present, and of the future

for the collection of information and for its use

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

lawful and ethical and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one

research and it is a social problem as well as a technical one




Figure 3: Signal-to-noise ratio and maximum estimable degree.